Subject: Re: CPRA requests LAPC.2020.07.28.a LAPC.2020.07.28.b
From: [redacted]
Date: 8/21/20, 9:49 AM
To: n3145@lapd.online

Thanks for your response, Mr. Smith.

You've apparently determined that the public interest in releasing the OIG's calendars is clearly outweighed by all the factors you listed, but that it's not outweighed, or not clearly so, by the public interest in releasing the OIG's calendars insofar as they relate to LAPPL contacts.

In order to have made this determination you must have used some conception of the public interest in releasing the calendars in general, some public interest in not releasing them, some public interest in releasing LAPPL-related entries, and some in not releasing them. Why don't you calculate the maximum number of calendar days X for which the public interest in not releasing fails to clearly outweigh the public interest in releasing, and give me the most recent X days. If you're not willing to do this then just give me 2020 calendars or else tell me why they're exempt, and we can repeat the process until we get to a good approximation of X.

Thanks!

[redacted]

----- Original message -----
From: Mark Smith <n3145@lapd.online>
To: [redacted]
Subject: CPRA Determination Letter to [redacted] 8.21.20
Date: Friday, August 21, 2020 9:36 AM

Dear [redacted]

The Office of the Inspector General (OIG) has reviewed your requests for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act (Cal. Govt. Code §§6250, *et seq.*, hereafter "CPRA" or the "Act"), which we received on July 29, 2020. Your requests seek the following categories of records for the time period 2019 and 2020: (i) daily calendars of the Inspector General; (ii) emails between OIG staff and any email address at lapd.com; (iii) emails between OIG staff and any email address at lappl.org; and (iv) emails between OIG staff and anyone representing LAPPL (Los Angeles Police Protective League).

The OIG is cognizant of its responsibilities under the Act. It recognizes the statutory scheme was enacted in order to maximize citizen access to the workings of government. The Act does not